SUPREME COURT MINUTES TUESDAY, JUNE 13, 2006 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

S023421

PEOPLE v. BUNYARD (JERRY T.)

Extension of time granted to August 10, 2006, to file the appellant's reply brief. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 120 additional days will be granted. Extension is granted based upon counsel George L. Schraer's representation that he anticipates filing that brief by December 12, 2006.

S034800

PEOPLE v. DEHOYOS (RICHARD

L.)

Extension of time granted to August 15, 2006, to file appellant's opening brief. The court anticipates that after that date, only six further extensions totaling about 300 additional days will be granted. Counsel is ordered to inform his or her supervising attorney, if any, of this schedule, and to take all steps necessary to meet it.

S045696

PEOPLE v. GARCIA (RANDY E.)

Extension of time granted to August 18, 2006, to file appellant's opening brief. After that date, only three further extensions totaling about 150 additional days are contemplated. Extension is granted based upon Deputy State Public Defender Peter R. Silten's representation that he anticipates filing that brief by mid-January 2007.

S052374

PEOPLE v. BROWN (STEVEN A.)

Extension of time granted to August 14, 2006, to file appellant's opening brief. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 45 additional days is contemplated. Extension is granted based upon counsel Emry J. Allen's representation that he anticipates filing that brief by September 30, 2006.

S055856

PEOPLE v. ROMERO & SELF

Extension of time granted to August 4, 2006, to file appellant Romero's opening brief. Extension is granted based upon counsel Michael P. Goldstein's representation that he anticipates filing that brief by August 4, 2006. After that date, no further extension will be granted.

S059653

PEOPLE v. MILLS (JEFFERY J.)

Extension of time granted to August 11, 2006, to file the respondent's brief. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 132 additional days are contemplated. Extension is granted based upon Deputy Attorney General Paul A. Bernardino's representation that he anticipates filing that brief by December 23, 2006.

S064858

PEOPLE v. SCOTT (ROYCE L.)

Extension of time granted to August 15, 2006, to file appellant's opening brief. After that date, only three further extensions totaling about 150 additional days will be granted. Extension is granted based upon Deputy State Public Defender Susan Ten Kwan's representation that she anticipates filing that brief by January 2007.

S066527

PEOPLE v. LINDBERG (GUNNER

J.)

Extension of time granted to July 19, 2006, to file the appellant's reply brief. After that date, no further extension will be granted. Extension is granted based upon Deputy State Public Defender Ronald Turner's representation that he anticipates filing that document by July 19, 2006.

S067353

PEOPLE v. GONZALES (IVAN)

Extension of time granted to August 22, 2006, to file the appellant's opening brief. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 120 additional days will be granted. Extension is granted based upon Deputy State Public Defender Craig Buckser's representation that he anticipates filing that document by December 2006.

S073597

PEOPLE v. LOPEZ (JUAN M.)

Extension of time granted to July 31, 2006, to file the appellant's reply brief. After that date, no further extension is contemplated. Extension is granted based upon Deputy State Public Defender Arnold Erickson's representation that he anticipates filing that brief by July 31, 2006.

S077009

PEOPLE v. CARRASCO (ROBERT)

Extension of time granted to August 1, 2006, to file the appellant's opening brief. After that date, only three further extensions totaling 90 additional days are contemplated. Extension is granted based upon counsel Robert R. Bryan's representation that he anticipates filing that brief by February 21, 2007.

S078027

PEOPLE v. STREETER (HOWARD

L.)

Extension of time granted to August 14, 2006, to file the appellant's opening brief. The court anticipates that after that date, only seven further extensions totaling about 420 additional days will be granted. Counsel is ordered to inform his or her supervising attorney, if any, of this schedule, and to take all steps necessary to meet it.

S078664

PEOPLE v. AVILA (JOSEPH A.)

Extension of time granted to August 15, 2006, to file respondent's brief. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 30 additional days is contemplated. Extension is granted based upon Deputy Attorney General Sharon L. Rhodes's representation that she anticipates filing that brief by September 15, 2006.

S082101

PEOPLE v. RHOADES (ROBERT

B.)

Extension of time granted to August 8, 2006, to file appellant's opening brief.

S082776

PEOPLE v. REED (ENNIS)

Extension of time granted to August 14, 2006, to file appellant's opening brief. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 60 additional days will be granted. Extension is granted based upon counsel Gail Harper's representation that she anticipates filing that brief by October 15, 2006.

S089311

PEOPLE v. HENRIQUEZ (CHRISTOPHER)

Extension of time granted to August 4, 2006, to file appellant's opening brief.

S091915

PEOPLE v. NUNEZ & SATELE

Extension of time granted to August 28, 2006, to file appellant Satele's opening brief.

S095223

PEOPLE v. BLOOM (ROBERT

MAURICE)

Extension of time granted to August 15, 2006, to file appellant's opening brief.

S133770

HOVARTER (JACKIE) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted to August 8, 2006, to file petitioner's reply to informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus.

S134365

FAUBER (CURTIS L.) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted to August 10, 2006, to file the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus. After that date, no further extension will be granted. Extension is granted based upon counsel John Littrell's representation that he anticipates filing that document by August 10, 2006.

S134653

CUDJO (ARMENIA LEVI) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted to July 12, 2006, to file the reply to the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus. After that date, no further extension will be granted. Extension is granted based upon Deputy Federal Public Defender Katherine A. Froyen's representation that she anticipates filing that document by July 12, 2006.

S137137 B173851 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 PEOPLE v. LEON (AVELINO)

On application of respondent Victor Aceves and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer brief on the merits is extended to and including July 10, 2006. Absent a compelling showing of good cause, no further extensions of time will be granted.

S137770

GREEN v. STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Extension of time granted to June 30, 2006, to file the reply brief on the merits.

S138130 C043392 Third Appellate District

ROSS v. RAGINGWIRE TELECOMMUNICATIONS

Extension of time granted to serve and file the reply brief on the merits is extended to June 23, 2006.

S140077

WALLACE (KEONE) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted to July 13, 2006, to file the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus. After that date, no further extension will be granted. Extension is granted based upon Deputy Attorney General Alison Elle Aleman's representation that she anticipates filing that document by July 13, 2006.

S140911 H027693 Sixth Appellate District

VARGAS v. CITY OF SALINAS

Extension of time granted to August 24, 2006, to file respondents answer brief on the merits.

S143360 H030064 Sixth Appellate District

NIKBAKHSH-TALI (ESFANDIAR) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted to June 16, 2006, to file Attorney General's answer to petition for review.

S121552

MARTINEZ v. COMBS (CORKY N.)

The application of appellants for permission to file Appellants' Reply Brief on the Merits containing 6,700 words that exceeds the 4,200 word limit prescribed by California Rules of Court, rule 29.1(c)(1) by 2,500 words is hereby granted.

S130839 C039617 Third Appellate District

LONICKI v. SUTTER HEALTH CENTRAL

The order filed on May 16, 2006, extending time to serve and file briefing to and including May 22, 2006, is amended to read, in its entirety; "On application of appellant's and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the appellant's *Response* to Amicus Curiae Brief submitted by the Employers Group and California Employment Law Council is extended to and including May 22, 2006.

S139917

PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERS IN CALIFORNIA GOVERNMENT v. JEFF MORALES, CALTROP ENGINEERING CORPORATION

The application of Self-Help Coalition and Contra Costa Transportation Authority for permission to file an amicus curiae brief in support of respondents is hereby granted. An answer thereto may be served by any party within twenty days of the filing of the brief.

S139917

PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERS IN CALIFORNIA GOVERNMENT v. JEFF MORALES, CALTROP ENGINEERING CORPORATION

The application of the California Building Industry Association and California Chamber of Commerce for permission to file an amicus curiae brief in support of respondents is hereby granted.

An answer thereto may be served and filed by any party within twenty days of the brief.

S141980 B183456 Second Appellate District, Div. 7 **PEOPLE v. WILLIAMS (NIGI W.)** The order filed on April 26, 2006, is amended only to note multiple petitions for review denied.

S143680

RONDON v. DEPARTMENT OF ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE CONTROL

The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Sixth Appellate District.

S143787

SMITH v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

Transferred to CA 2 for consideration in light of *Hagan v. Superior Court* (1962) 57 Cal.24 767. In the event the Court of Appeal determines that this petition is substantially identical to a prior petition, the repetitious petition must be denied.

S144052

PEOPLE v. CAPOGRECO (KENNETH E.)

Transferred to CA 3 for consideration in light of *Hagan v. Superior Court* (1962) 57 Cal.2d 767. In the event the Court of Appeal determines that this petition is substantially identical to a prior petition, the repetitious petition must be denied.

S031423

PEOPLE v. STRUM (GREGORY A.)

150 day statement.

S142423

BERGER ON DISCIPLINE

It is hereby ordered that **LAURA PADILLA BERGER**, **State Bar No. 108045**, be disbarred from the practice of law and that her name be stricken from the roll of attorneys. Respondent is also ordered to comply with rule 955 of the California Rules of Court, and to perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the date this order is effective.* Costs are awarded to the State Bar. *(See Bus. and Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S142424

MORRIS ON DISCIPLINE

It is hereby ordered that **GREGORY A. MORRIS, State Bar No. 91425**, be disbarred from the practice of law and that his name be stricken from the roll of attorneys. Respondent is also ordered to comply with rule 955 of the California Rules of Court, and to perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the date this order is effective.* Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. *(See Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S142425

MCCREDIE ON DISCIPLINE

It is ordered that **MARK PARDEE McCREDIE**, **State Bar No. 189962**, be suspended from the practice of law for one year, that execution of the suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for three years, subject to the conditions of probation, including restitution, recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its order approving stipulation filed on March 3, 2006. It is further ordered that he take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order. (See *Segretti v. State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10, and one-third of said costs be paid with

membership fees for the years 2007, 2008, and 2009. It is further ordered that if respondent fails to pay any installment within the time provided herein or as may be modified by the State Bar Court pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 6086.10, subdivision (c), the remaining balance of the costs is due and enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S142427 MACMASTER ON DISCIPLINE

It is ordered that **JAMES EDWARD MacMASTER**, **State Bar No. 88823**, be actually suspended from the practice of law for 60 days and until he takes and passes the Multistate Responsibility Examination, attends the State Bar's Ethic School and provides satisfactory proof of passage of the test given at the end of the session, and pay costs in full, as recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its order approving stipulation filed February 17, 2006. If respondent is actually suspended for two years or more, he must remain actually suspended until he provides proof to the satisfaction of the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii) of the Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct. It is also ordered that if respondent remains actually suspended for 90 days or more, he must comply with rule 955 of the California Rules of Court, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 120 and 130 days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.* Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

*(See Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S142428

CHALLGREN ON DISCIPLINE

It is ordered that **Michael L. Challgren, State Bar No. 137072,** be suspended from the practice of law for six months, that execution of the suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for three years subject to the conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its order approving stipulation filed on February 28, 2006. It is further ordered that he take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order. (See *Segretti v. State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S142429

GALENO ON DISCIPLINE

It is ordered that **JANET ANN GALENO**, **State Bar No. 114814**, be suspended from the practice of law for one year and until she makes restitution as set forth below, that execution of the suspension be stayed, and that she be placed on probation for two years subject to the conditions of probation, including 90 days actual suspension and until she makes restitution to Robert and Katherine Krause in the amount of \$1,500 plus 10% interest per annum from December 5, 2001 (or to the Client Security Fund to the extent of any payment from the fund to Robert and Katherine Krause, plus interest and costs, in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.5), and furnishes satisfactory proof thereof to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles, recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on February 24, 2006. If respondent is actually suspended for two years or more, she must remain actually suspended until she provides proof to the satisfaction of the State Bar Court of her rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii) of the Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct. It is also ordered that respondent take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order or during the period of her actual suspension, whichever is longer. (See Segretti v. State Bar (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) It is further ordered that she comply with rule 955 of the California Rules of Court, and that she perform the acts

specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.* Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

*(See Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S142430 BRAVOS ON DISCIPLINE

It is ordered that **JAMES W. BRAVOS**, **State Bar No. 138097**, be suspended from the practice of law for two years and until respondent shows proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of rehabilitation, present fitness to practice, and present learning and ability in the law, pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii) of the Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct, that execution of the suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for four years subject to the conditions of probation, including restitution, recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its order approving stipulation filed on January 4, 2006, as modified by its order filed on March 3, 2006. It is further ordered that he take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order. (See *Segretti v. State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10, and one-fourth of said costs must be paid with membership fees for the years 2007, 2008, 2009, and 2010. It is further ordered that if James W. Bravos fails to pay any installments within the time provided herein or as may be modified by the State Bar Court pursuant to section 6086.10, subdivision (c), the remaining balance of the costs is due and enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S142432 FELDMAN ON DISCIPLINE

It is ordered that **MARC STEPHAN FELDMAN, State Bar No. 108293**, be suspended from the practice of law for two years and until he provides proof to the satisfaction of the State Bar of respondent's rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.4 (c) (ii) of the Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct, that execution of the suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for three years subject to the conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on March 3, 2006. It is further ordered that respondent take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order. (See *Segretti v. State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn.8.) Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both, as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S144074 EPSTEIN ON RESIGNATION

The voluntary resignation of **JUDD ALAN EPSTEIN**, **State Bar No. 43922**, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S144130 POLANS ON RESIGNATION

The voluntary resignation of **CAROL ANN POLANS**, **State Bar No. 96837**, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S144131 SANDERSON ON RESIGNATION

The voluntary resignation of **JESSICA H. SANDERSON**, **State Bar No. 188365**, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S144134 SEIDEL ON RESIGNATION

The voluntary resignation of **DONNA L. SEIDEL**, **State Bar No. 102360**, as a member of the State Bar of

California is accepted.

S144135

SIMMONS ON RESIGNATION

The voluntary resignation of **RONNIE MARLYN SIMMONS**, **State Bar No. 78157**, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S144140

SUMMERFIELD ON RESIGNATION

The voluntary resignation of **CLINTON DAVID SUMMERFIELD**, **JR.**, **State Bar No. 152503**, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S144141

TYSON ON RESIGNATION

The voluntary resignation of **CHARLES MICHAEL TYSON**, **State Bar No. 96236**, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S144142

WALIZER ON RESIGNATION

The voluntary resignation of **NANCY JUNE WALIZER**, **State Bar No. 120396**, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S144144

WHITMAN ON RESIGNATION

The voluntary resignation of **DALE ALAN WHITMAN**, **State Bar No. 39934**, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S144150

BERGERE ON RESIGNATION

The voluntary resignation of **CLIFFORD WENDELL BERGERE**, **State Bar No. 172590**, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S144151

BORCHARD ON RESIGNATION

The voluntary resignation of **GARY CHARLES BORCHARD**, **State Bar No. 37386**, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S144152

EATON ON RESIGNATION

The voluntary resignation of **MICHAEL EDWIN EATON**, **State Bar No. 146191**, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S144154

GRUMET ON RESIGNATION

The voluntary resignation of **STACY FELICE GRUMET**, **State Bar No. 143450**, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S144155

HIGGINBOTHAM ON RESIGNATION

The voluntary resignation of **ROBERT JOHN HIGGINBOTHAM**, **State Bar No. 64202**, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S144156 KING ON RESIGNATION

The voluntary resignation of **ELIZABETH ANNE KING**, **State Bar No. 148797**, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S144157 BEDAL ON RESIGNATION

The voluntary resignation of **RICHARD WILLIAM BEDAL**, **State Bar No. 47442**, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S144158 BOWER ON RESIGNATION

The voluntary resignation of **ANN BOWER**, **State Bar No. 74169**, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S144159 JORDAN ON RESIGNATION

The voluntary resignation of **ROBERT ERIC JORDAN**, **State Bar No. 121577**, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S144160 KAVANAUGH ON RESIGNATION

The voluntary resignation of **KATHLEEN MARIE KAVANAUGH**, **State Bar No. 138325**, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S144161 LYNCH ON RESIGNATION

The voluntary resignation of **JUDITH CHRISTOL LYNCH**, **State Bar No. 147498**, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S144163 MCFARLAND ON RESIGNATION

The voluntary resignation of **DEBORAH ELLA MCFARLAND**, **State Bar No. 84661**, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S144164 PATANELLI ON RESIGNATION

The voluntary resignation of **JOHN MATTHEW PATANELLI**, **State Bar No. 92982**, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S144165 RIVARD ON RESIGNATION

The voluntary resignation of **ALISON A. RIVARD**, **State Bar No. 68273**, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S144166 WOO ON RESIGNATION

The voluntary resignation of **DONNA MAY WOO**, **State Bar No. 63234**, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S144167

NUSS ON RESIGNATION

The voluntary resignation of **NELYNDA NUSS**, **State Bar No. 145621**, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S144168

OLAKSEN ON RESIGNATION

The voluntary resignation of **SHEILA OLAKSEN**, **State Bar No. 124479**, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S144169

WISEMAN ON RESIGNATION

The voluntary resignation of **BRIAN ODELL WISEMAN**, **State Bar No. 177360**, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.

Bar Misc. 4186

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE COMMITTEE OF BAR EXAMINERS OF THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA FOR ADMISSION OF ATTORNEYS

The written motion of the Committee of Bar Examiners that the following named applicants, who have fulfilled the requirements for admission to practice law in the State of California, be admitted to the practice of law in this state is hereby granted, with permission to the applicants to take the oath before a competent officer at another time and place: (See Attached List of Names)